## **EXHIBIT 8**

## **EXHIBIT 8**

Las Vegas

Reno

Carson City

## CERTIFIED COPY

Case No. 3:10-CV-00297-LRH-(VPC)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA - RENO DIVISION

-000-

PAMELA D. LONGONI, individually and as Guardian Ad Litem for LACEY LONGONI, and JEAN M. GAGNON,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, et al.,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF

MYRON RAVELO

SEPTEMBER 8, 2011

RENO, NEVADA

REPORTED BY: CORRIE L. WOLDEN, NV CSR #194, RPR, CP

JOB NO. 144002

Page 21:

1	Products, Inc.?
2	A Not that I'm aware of.
3	Q Do you know whether or not ETS has any contractual
4	relationship with Residential Funding Corporation?
5	A No.
6	Q Do you know whether ETS has any contractual
7	relationship with Residential Funding Company, LLC?
8	A No.
9	Q Do you know whether Executive Trustee Services has
10	any contractual relationship with a company known as MERS?
11	A No.
12	Q Do you know whether or not Executive Trustee
13	Services has any contractual relationship with a trust known
1'4	as RAMP 205EFC?
15	A No.
16	Q And, I'm sorry, that was RAMP 2005, like the year
17.	2005.
18 •	A No.
19	Q Okay. Are you aware of any assignment of rights
20	that Executive Trustee Services has received from this trust
21	RAMP 2005EFC? ~
22	A No.
23	Q Are you aware of any assignment of rights from
24	Residential Asset Mortgage Products, Inc. to Executive
25	Trustee Services?

1	A No.
2	Q Are you aware of any assignment of rights between
3	Residential Funding Company, LLC and Executive Trustee
4	Services?
5	A No.
6	Q Are you aware of any assignment of rights from
7	Residential Funding Corporation to Executive Trustee
8	Services?
9	A No.
10	Q And are you aware of any assignment of rights from
11	GMAC Mortgage, LLC to Executive Trustee Services?
12	A No.
1	Q Are you aware of any assignment of rights between
14	Homecomings Financial, LLC and ETS?
15	A No.
16	Q You had indicated before that there were other
17	vendors that ETS uses, I guess, to perform its services.
18	Before we go there, I want to just have you describe for me
19	what you believe ETS does as a business.
20	A ETS prepares and processes the foreclosure file
21	for our clients. *
22	Q All right. And so preparing and processing the
23	foreclosure file, does that include actually engaging in the
24	process of foreclosing upon a piece of property?
25	A Physically, no, but the documentation, wes

1.	the right to get the collateral back if the loan is not
2	paid, correct?
3	MR. BASHFORD: Objection.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	BY MR. BEKO:
6	Q Do you know who owned the Promissory Note when
7	this assignment came to ETS?
8	MR. BASHFORD: Objection.
9	THE WITNESS: No.
10	BY MR. BEKO:
11	Q Do you have any idea who held Do you know what
12	a holder is of a Promissory Note?
<u>.</u>	MR. BASHFORD: Objection.
14	THE WITNESS: Just from what I understand, it is
15	who has the actual physical note, original.
16	BY MR. BEKO:
17	Q Okay. Good. Do you know who held the Promissory
18	Note when this assignment came to GMAC, or to ETS?
19	MR. BASHFORD: Objection.
20	THE WITNESS: No.
21	BY MR. BEKO:
22	Q To your knowledge did anyone ever attempt to make
23	any inquiry as to who actually held the Promissory Note at
24	the time that the foreclosure was started?
25	MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

1	THE WITNESS: No.
2	MR. BEKO: Well, can you be more specific,
3	Counsel?
4	MR. BASHFORD: It is a legal question about the
5	definition of what the holder of the note is.
6	MR. BEKO: Okay.
7	MR. BASHFORD: He is not a legal expert.
8	BY MR. BEKO:
9	Q Do you know, sir, at the time This foreclosure
10	was started on or about the 20th of February of 2009,
11	correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Does ETS have any idea who was in possession of
14	the Promissory Note?
15.	A No.
16	Q Does ETS have any idea who was in possession of
17	the Deed of Trust?
18	A Not physical, but no.
19	Q How about anything other than physical? Who was
20	in possession of it, if it is not physical?
21	A ETS would assume GMAC would have it.
22	Q Okay. Do you know who MERS is?
23	A I know, yes.
24	Q What is MERS?
25	A From what my understanding is, it is a company

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Deed of Trust is to whoever GMAC is notifying us to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	foreclose under.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q I see. So because they are saying to you do it in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MERS' name, you don't have to go get any assignment of the
7 8 9 10 11 12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Deed of Trust?
8 9 10 11 12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A From what we know now.
9 10 11 12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q From what you know now?
10 11 12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No, from what we know, we don't have to. We did
11 12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not have to at that time.
12 1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Has that changed now?
1 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q What is different about that now?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Everything is assigned out.
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Right. Meaning when you now do foreclosures, you
17 18 19 20 21 22	get an assignment from the original lender of the Deed of
18 19 20 21 22	Trust, correct?
19 20 21 22	A That's correct.
20 21 22	Q When did that change?
21 22	A I believe the exact date was October 19, 2009.
22	I'm sorry, 2010.
	Q October 19th, 2010?
23	A That's correct.
ł	Q And what happened to prompt that change?
24	A . I'm not exactly 100 percent sure what exactly
25	happened.

1	Q So after 2010, whoever is listed as the lender on
2	the Deed of Trust then has to give an assignment to ETS
3	before you begin the foreclosure process?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Okay. And how do you know this date of October
6	19th?
7	A There was a communiqué, a memo, a company memo.
8	It is either October 19th or 18th.
9	Q Okay.
10	A It is around that time frame.
11	Q All right. So now when ETS does a foreclosure,
12	they will get an assignment of the Deed of Trust, and will
13	they still do the Substitution of Trustee?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And then they will do the Notice of Default?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Okay. All right. And you don't remember anybody
18	telling you why it was that they were changing this
19	procedure by which to complete foreclosures?
20	A No, not no.
21	Q You don't have any idea?
22	A I personally do, just from what I read and
23	reviewed, but not
24	Q Okay. Tell me what your understanding is as to
25	why this change was made.

	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	
1	4

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

А	I don	't thir	nk it	is a	fair	sta	atement	to sa	y we
never hav	e to,	but we	are o	btair	ning,	we	would	obtain	that
informati	on fro	m the F	Revise	ed Sta	atutes	s of	Nevac	da.	

Q Okay. So you think that there is something in the Revised Statutes of Nevada that says that ETS did not have to go back and do another Notice of Default after additional monies were paid by the borrower, loss mitigation had been gone through, your understanding is that the statutes of Nevada say that you don't have to issue another Notice of Default?

MR. BASHFORD: Objection.

THE WITNESS: My understanding of that statute is that if we file our Notice of Default and our statutory mailings are completed at that portion of the foreclosure, and as long as the default amounts do not change, we do not have to send or record a new Notice of Default.

BY MR. BEKO:

- Q Okay. And when you say as long as the default amounts don't change, if GMAC on behalf of the lender receives additional funds, would the default amount change?
- A If the payment, if the monies were applied, yes, it would change.
- Q Well, if they received them, whether they apply them or not, the amount in default would change, correct?
  - A I can't make that statement.

1	Q Why not?
2	A If they don't apply to the loan and return it to
3	the borrower the next day, then it doesn't
4	Q Oh, sure, I totally understand that, sure. If
5	GMAC receives money from the borrower and keeps the money,
6	doesn't give it back to the borrower, then the default
7	amount would change, correct?
8	A That would be a fair assumption, yes.
9	Q All right. And in that situation, you believe
10	that ETS would need to go back and issue a new Notice of
11	Default, is that correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And was that ever done in this case?
14	A Which portion, I'm sorry?
15	Q Was there ever a new Notice of Default issued in
16	this case?
17	A Not that I can recall, no.
18	Q And do you know why not?
19	A From my understanding, the amounts, the defaulted
20	amounts did not change.
21	Q Okay. Do you know whether or not GMAC actually
22	received additional funds from the borrowers, Ms. Longoni
23	and Mr. Gagnon?
24	A No, we were not aware of that.
25	Q If that happens, if after a Notice of Default is

1.	Okay. If, in fact; GMAC has received funds, but
2	
3	you then start the process over with a new Notice of Default
4	providing those new numbers and continuing forward from
5	there, is that right?
6	
7	ges, we meate have to get
	approval from GMAC, because if it changes the payment
8	amounts, it technically isn't a valid foreclosure, period,
9	regardless of what it is, so we would then have to refer it
10	back to GMAC and they would have to refer it back to us.
11	That is what I'm trying to
12	Q Right. That makes sense to me. If you started
13	the process and there was a certain amount owed and the
14	lender gets some money back from the borrower, then you have
15	got to start anew, right?
16	A From my understanding, yes.
17	Q Okay. Do you have any explanation, I will submit
18	to you that there were payments that were received by GMAC
19	in this case from Ms. Longoni and Mr. Gagnon and the money
20	was kept. It was never returned to them. Do you know why
21	the foreclosure process wasn't started anew?
22	A No.
23	Q Do you know, sir, that after this foreclosure sale
24	went through that GMAC attempted to get the property back
25	from Ms. Gagnon, Mr. Gagnon and Ms. Longoni?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 4	
1	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
1	

25

A	I'm	sorry,	could	you	explain?

- Q Sure. Did you know after the sale, the foreclosure sale went through in this case, that GMAC attempted to purchase back the property from the new buyer?
- A I'm not sure if it is a purchase, but, yeah, I believe there was some sort of occurrence like that, yes.
  - Q Why did that happen, do you know?
  - A I could only assume.
- Q Was it because they had received those funds and they didn't restart the foreclosure process over again?
- MR. BASHFORD: Objection to the extent it calls for any privileged information.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if that is the truth, I mean, if that is the case or not, I'm sorry.

BY MR. BEKO:

Q What is your understanding, what is your belief as to why they went back and did that?

MR. BASHFORD: Objection; don't answer that to the extent you believe it is based on what you were told by Counsel or any legal representation for GMAC or ETS.

BY MR. BEKO:

- Q Can you answer that question?
- A Based on what he said, I can't.
- Q So you don't have any information as to why they tried to get the property back except for what some attorney

Page 129

1	told you, is that correct?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q Okay. Mr. Ravelo
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q I don't know why I have a hard time remembering
6	your name, I'm sorry. If, in fact, GMAC had received monies
7	and applied them from the borrowers, would there be some
8	kind of notation either in Exhibit 38 or Exhibit 4 where
9	they would be telling you that information?
10	A Possibly. It is possible.
11	Q How else would ETS get that information if it
12	didn't come through either of these two electronic systems?
13	A We would have to review GMAC's MortgageServ
14	system.
15	Q Okay. Let's talk about that for a second. Would
16	you look, sir, at Exhibit 5 for identification?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q This is the system that you are talking about if,
19	in fact, GMAC had received funds that they would notify ETS
20	through this system?
21	A No. My explanation was if we don't get it on
22	notification through our system
23	Q Which is Exhibit 38?
24	A Exhibit 38, I'm sorry, and Exhibit 4.
25	Q Okay.

Page 137.

1	Default part.
2	Q What has changed since the Longoni matter?
3	
4	Q Okay.
5	A For Nevada, the mediation requirements.
- 6	Q There was no attempt to mediate this case in
7	Nevada at all, correct?
8	A No.
9 ,	Q None of the notices that were required Are you
10	familiar with the notices that now have to go out in Nevada
11	as far as things that have to be done?
12	A I know the general definitions of them. I don't
13	know the exact verbiage and the exact pages of them, but I
14	do know the general definitions of them, yes.
15	Q And none of that was complied with in this case,
16	correct?
17	A Not at that time, no.
18	Q Do you know why not?
19	A I believe it was date of effect.
20	Q Date of effect of what?
21	A Of that mediation law, statute.
22	Q Based upon the records that you have seen here to
23	date and the records that you think that you have seen that
24	are not here to date, it appears that all of the notices of
25	default that were sent to Longoni-Gagnon came back

Page 166

STATE OF NEVADA )

Ss.
WASHOE COUNTY )

I, CORRIE L. WOLDEN, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter in and for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada,
do hereby certify; That on THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2011, at
the hour of 9:02 a.m. of said day, at 99 W. Arroyo Street,
Reno, Nevada, personally appeared MYRON RAVELO, who was duly
sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth and
nothing but the truth, and thereupon was deposed in the
matter entitled herein;

That I am not a relative, employee or independent contractor of counsel to any of the parties; or a relative, employee or independent contractor of the parties involved in the proceeding, or a person financially interested in the proceeding;

That said deposition was taken in verbatim stenotype notes by me, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting as herein appears; That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1 through 166, is a full, true and correct transcription of my stenotype notes of said deposition.

DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 16th day of September, 2011.

CORRIE L. WOLDEN, CSR #194, RPR, CP